arXiv:1304.7334v1 [math.RA] 27 Apr 2013

Representations and module-extensions of hom 3-Lie algebras

Yan Liu, Liangyun Chen, Yao Ma

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the representations and module-extensions of hom 3-Lie algebras. We show that a linear map between hom 3-Lie algebras is a morphism if and only if its graph is a hom 3-Lie subalgebra and show that the derivations of a hom 3-Lie algebra is a Lie algebra. Derivation extension of hom 3-Lie algebras are also studied as an application. Moreover, we introduce the definition of T_θ-extensions and T^*_θ-extensions of hom 3-Lie sub-algebras in terms of modules, provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for $2k$-dimensional metric hom 3-Lie algebra to be isomorphic to a T^*_θ-extensions.

Keywords: Hom 3-Lie algebra, module-extension, T^*_θ-extension, representation, deformation.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B99, 17B30.

1 Introduction

3-Lie algebras are special types of n-Lie algebras and have close relationships with many important fields in mathematics and mathematical physics[6,7,10]. The structure of 3-Lie algebras is closely linked to the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations of the world-volume theory of multiple coincident M2-branes and is applied to the study of the Bagger-Lambert theory. Moreover, the n-Jacobi identity can be regarded as a generalized Plucker relation in the physics literature.

A Hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, where α is a linear self-map, in which the skewsymmetric bracket satisfies an α-twisted variant of the Jacobi identity, called the Hom-Jacobi identity. When α is the identity map, the Hom-Jacobi identity reduces

---

Corresponding author(L. Chen): chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.

Supported by NNSF of China (No. 11171057), Natural Science Foundation of Jilin province (No. 201115006), Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Scholars Ministry of Education of China and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

to the usual Jacobi identity, and L is a Lie algebra. The notion of hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov to describe the structures on certain deformations of the Witt algebra and the Virasoro algebra [12]. Hom-Lie algebras are also related to deformed vector fields, the various versions of the Yang-Baxter equations, braid group representations, and quantum groups [7,18,19].

In this paper we give the definition of hom 3-Lie algebra, and construct new hom 3-Lie algebras from an existing hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ by adding L-module A to L. Specializing to the case $A = L^*$, the dual space of L, we obtain the analogue of T^*-extension for hom 3-Lie algebras. We then investigate their relationships to even dimensional metric hom 3-Lie algebras.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2 after giving the definition of hom 3-Lie algebras, we show that the direct sum of two hom 3-Lie algebras is still a hom 3-Lie algebra. A linear map between hom 3-Lie algebras is a morphism if and only if its graph is a hom 3-Lie subalgebra. In section 3 we study derivations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. For any nonnegative integer k, we define α^k-derivations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. Considering the direct sum of the space of α-derivations, we prove that it is a Lie algebra (Proposition 3.3). In particular, any α-derivations gives rise to a derivation extension of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$(Theorem 3.5). In Section 4 we give the definition of representations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. We show that one can obtain the semidirect product multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho A}, \alpha + A)$ associated to any representation ρA on V of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ (Proposition 4.2). We also describes module extensions (associated with a 3-cocycle) of hom 3-Lie algebras. In Section 5, T^*_θ-extensions of hom 3-Lie algebras is studied.

2 Hom 3-Lie algebra

Definition 2.1. (1) *A hom 3-Lie algebra is a triple* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *consisting of a vector space L, a 3-ary skew-symmetric operation* $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L : \wedge^3 L \to L$ *and a linear map* $\alpha : L \to L$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha(x), \alpha(y), [u, v, w]_L]_L &= [[x, y, u]_L, \alpha(v), \alpha(w)]_L + [\alpha(u), [x, y, v]_L, \alpha(w)]_L \\ &\quad + [\alpha(u), \alpha(v), [x, y, w]_L]_L. \qquad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, u, v, w \in L$*, the identity (1) is called the hom-Jacobi identity.*

(2) *A hom 3-Lie algebra is called a multiplicative hom 3-lie algebra if* α *is an algebraic morphism, i.e. for any* $x, y, z \in L$*, we have* $\alpha([x, y, z]_L) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)]_L$.

(3) *A hom 3-Lie algebra is called a regular hom 3-Lie algebra if* α *is an algebra automorphism.*

(4) *A sub-vector space* $I \subseteq L$ *is a hom 3-Lie sub-algebra of* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *if* $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ *and I is closed under the bracket operation* $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L$*, i.e.* $[I, I, I]_L \in I$*. I is called a hom 3-Lie algebra ideal of L if* $[I, L, L]_L \subseteq I$.

If $\alpha = \mathrm{Id}$ in Definition 2.1, then $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is a 3-Lie algebra [8].

Consider the direct sum of two hom 3-Lie algebras, we have

Proposition 2.2. *Given two hom 3-Lie algebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ and $(\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma, \beta)$, there is a hom 3-Lie algebra $(L \oplus \Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha + \beta)$, where the 3-ary skew-symmetric operation $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma} : \wedge^2(L \oplus \Gamma \to L \oplus \Gamma)$ is given by*

$$[u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u_1, u_2, u_3]_L + [v_1, v_2, v_3]_\Gamma, \forall u_i \in L, v_i \in \Gamma,$$

and the linear map $(\alpha + \beta) : L \oplus \Gamma \to L \oplus \Gamma$ is given by

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u + v) = \alpha(u) + \beta(v), \forall u \in L, v \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. It is clear by Definition 2.1. ☐

Definition 2.3. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ and $(\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma, \beta)$ be two hom 3-Lie algebras. A morphism $\phi : L \to \Gamma$ is said to be a morphism of hom 3-Lie algebras if*

$$\phi[u, v, w]_L = [\phi(u), \phi(v), \phi(w)]_\Gamma, \forall u, v, w \in L, \tag{2}$$

$$\phi \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \phi. \tag{3}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \in L \oplus \Gamma$ be the graph of a linear map $\phi : L \to \Gamma$.

Proposition 2.4. *A linear map $\phi : (L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha) \to (\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma, \beta)$ is a morphism of hom 3-Lie algebras if and only if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset L \oplus \Gamma$ is a hom 3-Lie sub-algebras of $(L \oplus \Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha + \beta)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : (L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha) \to (\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\Gamma, \beta)$ be a morphism of hom 3-Lie algebras. For any $u, v, w \in L$, we have

$$[u+\phi(u), v+\phi(v), w+\phi(w)]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u, v, w]_L + [\phi(u), \phi(v), \phi(w)]_\Gamma = [u, v, w]_L + \phi[u, v, w]_L.$$

Then the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}$. Furthermore, by (2), we have

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u + \phi(u)) = \alpha(u) + \beta \circ \phi(u) = \alpha(u) + \phi \circ \alpha(u),$$

which implies that $(\alpha + \beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$. Thus $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a hom 3-Lie algebras of

$$(L \oplus \Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha + \beta).$$

Conversely, if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset L\oplus\Gamma$ is a hom 3-Lie subalgebras of $(L\oplus\Gamma, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus\Gamma}, \alpha+\beta)$, then we have

$$[u + \phi(u), v + \phi(v), w + \phi(w)]_{L\oplus\Gamma} = [u, v, w]_L + [\phi(u), \phi(v), \phi(w)]_\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which implies that

$$[\phi(u), \phi(v), \phi(w)]_\Gamma = \phi[u, v, w]_L.$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha + \beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$ yields that

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u + \phi(u)) = \alpha(u) + \beta \circ \phi(u) \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\beta \circ \phi(u) = \phi \circ \alpha(u)$, i.e. $\beta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \alpha$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a morphism of hom 3-Lie algebras. ☐

3 Derivations of hom 3-Lie algebras

Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra. For any nonnegative integer k, denote by α^k the k-times composition of α, i.e.

$$\alpha^k = \alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha \quad (k - times).$$

In particular, $\alpha^0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\alpha^1 = \alpha$. If $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is a regular hom 3-Lie algebra, we denote by α^{-k} the k-times composition of α^1, the inverse of α.

Definition 3.1. *For any nonnegative integer k, a linear map $D : L \to L$ is called an α^k-derivation of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$, if*

$$[D, \alpha] = 0, \quad i.e. \quad D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D[u, v, w]_L &= [D(u), \alpha^k(v), \alpha^k(w)]_L + [\alpha^k(u), D(v), \alpha^k(w)]_L \\ &\quad + [\alpha^k(u), \alpha^k(v), D(w)]_L, \forall u, v, w \in L. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For a regular hom 3-Lie algebra, α^{-k}-derivations can be defined similarly.

Denote by $\mathrm{Der}^k_a(L)$ the set of α^k-derivations of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. For any $u_1, u_2 \in L$ satisfying $\alpha(u_1) = u_1, \alpha(u_2) = u_2$, define $\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2) : L \to L$ by

$$\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(v) = [u_1, u_2, \alpha^k(v)]_L, \forall v \in L.$$

Then $\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation, which we call an inner *α^{k+1}-derivation. In fact, we have*

$$\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(\alpha(v)) = [u_1, u_2, \alpha^{k+1}(v)]_L = \alpha([u_1, u_2, \alpha^k(v)]_L) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(v),$$

which implies that (4) in Defition 3.1 is satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)([v_1, v_2, v_3]_L) \\ = \; & [u_1, u_2, \alpha^k([v_1, v_2, v_3]_L)]_L = [\alpha(u_1), \alpha(u_2), [\alpha^k(v_1), \alpha^k(v_2, \alpha^k(v_3]_L]_L \\ = \; & [[u_1, u_2, \alpha^k(v_1)]_L, \alpha^{k+1}(v_2), \alpha^{k+1}(v_3)]_L + [\alpha^{k+1}(v_1), [u_1, u_2, \alpha^k(v_2)]_L, \alpha^{k+1}(v_3)]_L \\ & + [\alpha^{k+1}(v_1), \alpha^{k+1}(v_2), [u_1, u_2, \alpha^k(v_3)]_L]_L \\ = \; & [\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(v_1), \alpha^{k+1}(v_2), \alpha^{k+1}(v_3)]_L + [\alpha^{k+1}(v_1), \mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(v_2), \alpha^{k+1}(v_3)]_L \\ & + [\alpha^{k+1}(v_1), \alpha^{k+1}(v_2), \mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)(v_3)]_L. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{ad}_k(u_1, u_2)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation. Denote by $\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ the set of inner α^k-derivations, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^k}(L) = \{[u_1, u_2, \alpha^{k-1}(\cdot)]_L | u_1, u_2 \in L, \alpha(u_i) = (u_i), i = 1, 2\}. \tag{6}$$

In particular we use ad *represent* ad_0.

For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ and $D^{'} \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(L)$, define their commutator $[D, D^{'}]$ as usual:

$$[D, D^{'}] = D \circ D^{'} - D^{'} \circ D. \tag{7}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ and $D^{'} \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(L)$, we have*

$$[D, D^{'}] \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(L).$$

Proof. For any $u, v, w \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [D, D^{'}]([u,v,w]_L) = D \circ D^{'}([u,v,w]_L) - D^{'} \circ D([u,v,w]_L) \\
= \; & D([D^{'}(u), \alpha^s(v), \alpha^s(w)]_L + [\alpha^s(u), D^{'}(v), \alpha^s(w)]_L + [\alpha^s(u), \alpha^s(v), D^{'}(w)]_L) \\
& -D^{'}([D(u), \alpha^k(v), \alpha^k(w)]_L + [\alpha^k(u), D(v), \alpha^k(w)]_L + [\alpha^k(u), \alpha^k(v), D(w)]_L) \\
= \; & [D \circ D^{'}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L + [\alpha^k \circ D^{'}(u), D \circ \alpha^s(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^k \circ D^{'}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), D \circ \alpha^s(w)]_L + [D \circ \alpha^s(u), \alpha^k \circ D^{'}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), D \circ D^{'}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L + [\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^k \circ D^{'}(v), D \circ \alpha^s(w)]_L \\
& +[D \circ \alpha^s(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^k \circ D^{'}(w)]_L + [\alpha^{k+s}(u), D \circ \alpha^s(v), \alpha^k \circ D^{'}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), D \circ D^{'}(w)]_L - [D^{'} \circ D(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& -[\alpha^s \circ D(u), D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L - [\alpha^s \circ D(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(w)]_L \\
& -[D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(u), \alpha^s \circ D(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L - [\alpha^{k+s}(u), D^{'} \circ D(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& -[\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^s \circ D(v), D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(w)]_L - [D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^s \circ D(w)]_L \\
& -[\alpha^{k+s}(u), D^{'} \circ \alpha^k(v), \alpha^s \circ D(w)]_L - [\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), D^{'} \circ D(w)]_L.
\end{aligned}$$

Since D and $D^{'}$ satisfy

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, D^{'} \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D^{'},$$

we have

$$D \circ \alpha^s = \alpha^s \circ D, D^{'} \circ \alpha^k = \alpha^k \circ D^{'}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [D, D^{'}]([u,v,w]_L) \\
= \; & [D \circ D^{'}(u) - D^{'} \circ D(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), D \circ D^{'}(v) - D^{'} \circ D(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), D \circ D^{'}(w) - D^{'} \circ D(w)]_L \\
= \; & [[D, D^{'}](u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L + [\alpha^{k+s}(u), [D, D^{'}](v), \alpha^{k+s}(w)]_L \\
& +[\alpha^{k+s}(u), \alpha^{k+s}(v), [D, D^{'}](w)]_L.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
[D, D^{'}] \circ \alpha &= D \circ D^{'} \circ \alpha - D^{'} \circ D \circ \alpha \\
&= \alpha \circ D \circ D^{'} - \alpha \circ D^{'} \circ D \\
&= \alpha \circ [D, D^{'}],
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that $[D, D^{'}] \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(L)$. □

Denote by

$$\mathrm{Der}(L) = \oplus_{k\geq 0}\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L). \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notations,* Der*(L) is a Lie algebra, in which the Lie bracket is given by (7).*

Remark 3.4. *Similarly, we can obtain a Lie algebra $\oplus_k\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$, where k is any integer, L is a regular hom 3-Lie algebra.*

At the end of this section, we consider the derivation extension of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ and give an application of the α-derivation $\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(L)$.

For any linear map $D : L \to L$, consider the vector space $L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$. Define a 3-ary skew-symmetric operation $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L$ on $L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$ by

$$[u + lD, v + mD, w + nD]_D = [u, v, w,]_L + lD(v) + mD(w) - nD(u),$$

$$[u, v, w]_D = [u, v, w]_L, [D, u, v]_D = [v, D, u]_D = -[u, v, D]_D = D(u), \forall u, v, w \in L.$$

Define a linear map $\alpha' : L \oplus \mathbb{R}D \to L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$ by $\alpha'(u + D) = \alpha(u) + D$, i.e.

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.5. *With the above notations, $(L \oplus \mathbb{R}D, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_D, \alpha')$ is a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra if and only if D is an α- derivation of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$.*

Proof. First, for any $u, v, w \in L$, $l, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha'([u + lD, v + mD, w + nD]_D) = \alpha'([u, v, w,]_L + lD(v) + mD(w) - nD(u)) \\ = \; & \alpha([u, v, w]_L) + l\alpha \circ D(v) + m\alpha \circ D(w) - n\alpha \circ D(u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha'(u + lD), \alpha'(v + mD), \alpha'(w + nD)]_D = [\alpha(u) + lD, \alpha(v) + mD, \alpha(w) + nD]_D \\ = \; & [\alpha(u), \alpha(v), \alpha(w)]_L + lD \circ \alpha(v) + mD \circ \alpha(w) - nD \circ \alpha(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, α' is an algebra morphism if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha'(x), \alpha'(D), [u, v, w]_D]_D = [\alpha(x), D, [u, v, w]_D]_D \\ = \; & [[x, D, u]_D, \alpha(v), \alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u), [x, D, v]_D, \alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u), \alpha(v), [x, D, w]_D]_D \\ = \; & [D(u), \alpha(v), \alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u), D(v), \alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u), \alpha(v), D(w)]_D. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied if and only if the following condition holds

$$D[u,v,w]_D = [D(u),\alpha(v),\alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u),D(v),\alpha(w)]_D + [\alpha(u),\alpha(v),D(w)]_D.$$

So $(L \oplus RD, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_D, \alpha^{'})$ is a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra if and only if D is an α-derivation of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$. □

4 T_θ-extension of hom 3-Lie algebras

In this section, we study representations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. We also prove that one can form semidirect product multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras when given representations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra and V be an vector space. Let $A \in gl(V)$ be an arbitrary linear transformation from V to V.

Definition 4.1. *A representation of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $A \in gl(V)$ is a bilinear map $\rho_A : L \to gl(V)$, such that for any $x,y,z,u \in L$, the basic property of this map is that:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_A(\alpha(u),\alpha(v)) \circ A = A \circ \rho_A(u,v), && (9)\\
&\rho_A([x,y,z],\alpha(u)) \circ A \\
&= \rho_A(\alpha(y),\alpha(z))\rho_A(x,u) + \rho_A(\alpha(z),\alpha(x))\rho_A(y,u) + \rho_A(\alpha(x),\alpha(y))\rho_A(z,u), && (10)\\
&\rho_A(\alpha(x),\alpha(y))\rho_A(z,u) \\
&= \rho_A(\alpha(z),\alpha(u))\rho_A(x,y) + \rho_A([x,y,z],\alpha(u)) \circ A + \rho_A(\alpha(z),[x,y,u]) \circ A. && (11)
\end{aligned}$$

then (V, ρ_A) is called a representation of L, or V is an L-module.

As an example, let $\rho_A(x,y) = \mathrm{ad}(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in L$. Then (L, ad) is an L-module, called the adjoint module of L. If (V, ρ_A) is an L-module, then the dual space V^* of V is an L-module in the following way. For $f \in V^*, v \in V, x, y, \in L$, define $\rho_A^* : L \wedge L \longrightarrow End(V^*)$.

$$\rho_A^*(x,y)(f)(v) = -f(\rho_A(x,y)(v)). \tag{12}$$

We call the V^* the dual module of V.

In the case of Lie algebras, we can form semidirect products when given representations. Similarly, we have

Proposition 4.2. *Given a representation ρ_A of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $A \in gl(V)$. Define a 3-ary skew-symmetric bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho A} : \wedge^3(L \oplus V) \to L \oplus V$ by*

$$[u+X, v+Y, w+Z]_{\rho A} = [u,v,w]_L + \rho_A(u,v)(Z) + \rho_A(w,u)(Y) + \rho_A(v,w)(X), \tag{13}$$

Define $\alpha + A : L \oplus V \to L \oplus V$ *by*

$$(\alpha + A)(u + X) = \alpha(u) + AX.$$

Then $(L \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho A}, \alpha + A)$ *is a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra, which we call the semidirect product of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *and* V.

Proof. First we show that $\alpha + A$ is an algebra morphism, On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha + A)([u + X, v + Y, w + Z]_{\rho A}) \\
= \; & (\alpha + A)([u, v, w]_L + \rho_A(u, v)(Z) + \rho_A(w, u)(Y) + \rho_A(v, w)(X)) \\
= \; & \alpha([u, v, w]_L) + A \circ \rho_A(u, v)(Z) + A \circ \rho_A(w, u)(Y) + A \circ \rho_A(v, w)(X).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\alpha + A)(u + X), (\alpha + A)(v + Y), (\alpha + A)(w + Z)]_{\rho A} \\
= \; & [\alpha(u) + AX, \alpha(v) + AY, \alpha(w) + AZ]_{\rho_A} \\
= \; & [\alpha(u), \alpha(v), \alpha(w)]_L + \rho_A(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))(AZ) + A \circ \rho_A(\alpha(w), \alpha(u))(AY) \\
& + A \circ \rho_A(\alpha(v), \alpha(w))(AX).
\end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, ρ_A and A satisfy (9), it follows that $\alpha + A$ is a morphism with respect to the bracket to $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho A}$. It is not hard to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\alpha + A)(v_1 + Y_1), (\alpha + A)(v_2 + Y_2), [u_1 + X_1, u_2 + X_2, u_3 + X_3]_{\rho A}]_{\rho A} \\
= \; & [\alpha(v_1), \alpha(v_2), [u_1, u_2, u_3]_L]_L + \rho_A(\alpha(v_1), \alpha(v_2))\rho_A(u_1, u_2)(X_3) \\
& + \rho_A(\alpha(v_1), \alpha(v_2))\rho_A(u_3, u_1)(X_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(v_1), \alpha(v_2))\rho_A(u_2, u_3)(X_1) \\
& + \rho_A([u_1, u_2, u_3]_L, \alpha(v_1)) \circ A(Y_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(v_2), [u_1, u_2, u_3]_L) \circ A(Y_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [[v_1 + Y_1, v_2 + Y_2, u_1 + X_1]_{\rho A}, (\alpha + A)(u_2 + X_2), (\alpha + A)(u_3 + X_3)]_{\rho A} \\
= \; & [[v_1, v_2, u_1]_L, \alpha(u_2), \alpha(u_3)]_L + \rho_A([v_1, v_2, u_1]_L, \alpha(u_2)) \circ A(X_3) \\
& + \rho_A(\alpha(u_3), [v_1, v_2, u_1]_L) \circ A(X_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_2), \alpha(u_3))\rho_A(v_1, v_2)(X_1) \\
& + \rho_A(\alpha(u_2), \alpha(u_3))\rho_A(u_1, v_1)(Y_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_2), \alpha(u_3))\rho_A(v_2, u_1)(Y_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\alpha + A)(u_1 + X_1), [v_1 + Y_1, v_2 + Y_2, u_2 + X_2]_{\rho A}, (\alpha + A)(u_3 + X_3)]_{\rho A} \\
= \; & [\alpha(u_1), [v_1, v_2, u_2]_L, \alpha(u_3)]_{\rho_A} + \rho_A(\alpha(u_1), [v_1, v_2, u_2]_L) \circ A(X_3) \\
& + \rho_A([v_1, v_2, u_2]_L, \alpha(u_3)) \circ A(X_1) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_3), \alpha(u_1))\rho_A(v_1, v_2)(X_2) \\
& + \rho_A(\alpha(u_3), \alpha(u_1))\rho_A(u_2, v_1)(Y_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_3), \alpha(u_1))\rho_A(v_2, u_2)(Y_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\alpha + A)(u_1 + X_1), (\alpha + A)(u_2 + X_2), [v_1 + Y_1, v_2 + Y_2, u_3 + X_3]_{\rho A}]_{\rho A} \\
= \; & [\alpha(u_1), \alpha(u_2), [v_1, v_2, u_3]_L]_{\rho_A} + \rho_A(\alpha(u_1), \alpha(u_2))\rho_A(v_1, v_2)(X_3) \\
& + \rho_A(\alpha(u_1), \alpha(u_2))\rho_A(u_3, v_1)(Y_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_1), \alpha(u_2))\rho_A(v_2, u_3)(Y_1) \\
& + \rho_A([v_1, v_2, u_3]_L, \alpha(u_1)) \circ A(X_2) + \rho_A(\alpha(u_2), [v_1, v_2, u_2]_L) \circ A(X_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_A(\alpha(v_1),\alpha(v_2))\rho_A(u_1,u_2)(X_3)\\
= & \rho_A(\alpha(u_1),\alpha(u_2))\rho_A(v_1,v_2)(X_3)+\rho_A([v_1,v_2,u_1]_L,\alpha(u_2))\circ A(X_3)\\
& +\rho_A(\alpha(u_1),[v_1,v_2,u_2]_L)\circ A(X_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\alpha+A)(v_1+Y_1),(\alpha+A)(v_2+Y_2),[u_1+X_1,u_2+X_2,u_3+X_3]_{\rho A}]_{\rho A}\\
= & [[v_1+Y_1,v_2+Y_2,u_1+X_1]_{\rho A},(\alpha+A)(u_2+X_2),(\alpha+A)(u_3+X_3)]_{\rho A}\\
& +[(\alpha+A)(u_1+X_1),[v_1+Y_1,v_2+Y_2,u_2+X_2]_{\rho A},(\alpha+A)(u_3+X_3)]_{\rho A}\\
& +[(\alpha+A)(u_1+X_1),(\alpha+A)(u_2+X_2),[v_1+Y_1,v_2+Y_2,u_3+X_3]_{\rho A}]_{\rho A}.
\end{aligned}$$

The hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied. Thus, $(L\oplus V,[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A},\alpha+A)$ is a multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebra. ☐

Definition 4.3. *Let $(L,[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ be a hom 3-Lie algebra and (V,ρ_A) be an L-module. If $\theta: L\wedge L\wedge L\to V$ is a 3-linear mapping and satisfies, for every $x,y,z,u,v\in L$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta([x,u,v]_L,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))+\theta([y,u,v]_L,\alpha(z),\alpha(x))+\theta(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[z,u,v]_L)\\
& -\theta([x,y,z]_L,\alpha(u),\alpha(v))+\rho_A(\alpha(y),\alpha(z))\theta(x,u,v)+\rho_A(\alpha(z),\alpha(x))\theta(y,u,v)\\
& +\rho_A(\alpha(x),\alpha(y))\theta(z,u,v)-\rho_A(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))\theta(x,y,z)=0. \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

then θ is called a 3-cocycle associated with ρ_A.

Cocycles are a fundamental concept in the theory of cohomology of Lie algebras. Using 3-cocycles, we are able to construct new hom 3-Lie algebras by adding L-modules as follow.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(L,[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L,\alpha)$ be a hom 3-Lie algebra and (V,ρ_A) be an L-module. Define $\alpha+A: L\oplus V\to L\oplus V$ by*

$$(\alpha+A)(x+f)=\alpha(x)+A\circ f,$$

and $f\in V$ is compatible with α and A in sense that $A\circ f=f\circ\alpha$. If $\theta: L\wedge L\wedge L\to V$ is a 3-cocycle, then $(L\oplus V,[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha+A)$ is a hom 3-Lie algebra under the following multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1+y_1,x_2+y_2,x_3+y_3]_\theta\\
= & [x_1,x_2,x_3]_L+\theta(x_1,x_2,x_3)+\rho_A(x_1,x_2)(y_3)+\rho_A(x_3,x_1)(y_2)+\rho_A(x_2,x_3)(y_1). \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_1,x_2,x_3\in L$ and $y_1,y_2,y_3\in V$.

Proof. It is easy by virtue of a routine computation. ☐

Definition 4.5. *Denote the hom 3-Lie algebra* $(L\oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha + A)$ *by* $T_\theta(L)$, $T_\theta(L)$ *is called the* T_θ*-extension of* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *by the* L*-module* V.

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *be a hom 3-Lie algebra and* (V, ρ_A) *be an* L*-module. For every linear mapping* $f : L \to V$*, the ternary skew-symmetric mapping* $\theta_f : L\wedge L\wedge L \to V$ *given by*

$$\theta_f(x, y, z) = f([x, y, z]_L) - \rho_A(x, y)f(z) - \rho_A(z, x)f(y) - \rho_A(y, z)f(x), \tag{16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$*, is a* 3*-cocycle associated with* ρ_A.

Proof. A tedious caculation shows that, for every $x, y, z, u, v \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_f([x,u,v]_L,\alpha(y),\alpha(z)) + \theta_f([y,u,v]_L,\alpha(z),\alpha(x)) + \theta_f(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[z,u,v]_L)\\ &-\theta_f([x,y,z]_L,\alpha(u),\alpha(v)) + \rho_A(\alpha(y),\alpha(z))\theta_f(x,u,v) + \rho_A(\alpha(z),\alpha(x))\theta_f(y,u,v)\\ &+\rho_A(\alpha(x),\alpha(y))\theta_f(z,u,v) - \rho_A(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))\theta_f(x,y,z) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

It follows that θ is called a 3-cocycle associated with ρ_A. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *be a hom 3-Lie algebra and* (V, ρ_A) *be an* L*-module. then for every linear mapping* $f : L \to V$,

$$\sigma : T_\theta(L) \to T_{\theta+\theta_f}(L), \sigma(x + v) = x + f(x) + v, \quad x \in L, v \in V,$$

is a hom 3-Lie algebra isomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that σ is a bijection. Next, for every $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$, $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma([x_1+v_1, x_2+v_2, x_3+v_3]_\theta)\\ =\ &\sigma([x_1,x_2,x_3]_L + \theta(x_1,x_2,x_3) + \rho_A(x_1,x_2)(v_3) + \rho_A(x_3,x_1)(v_2) + \rho_A(x_2,x_3)(v_1))\\ =\ &[x_1,x_2,x_3]_L + \theta(x_1,x_2,x_3) + f([x_1,x_2,x_3]_L) + \rho_A(x_1,x_2)(v_3) + \rho_A(x_3,x_1)(v_2)\\ &+\rho_A(x_2,x_3)(v_1).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}&[\sigma(x_1+v_1), \sigma(x_2+v_2), \sigma(x_3+v_3)]_{\theta+\theta_f}\\ =\ &[x_1+f(x_1)+v_1, x_2+f(x_2)+v_2, x_3+f(x_3)+v_3]_{\theta+\theta_f}\\ =\ &[x_1,x_2,x_3]_L + (\theta+\theta_f)(x_1,x_2,x_3) + \rho_A(x_1,x_2)(f(x_3)) + \rho_A(x_1,x_2)(v_3)\\ &+\rho_A(x_3,x_1)(f(x_2)) + \rho_A(x_3,x_1)(v_2) + \rho_A(x_2,x_3)(f(x_1)) + \rho_A(x_2,x_3)(v_1).\end{aligned}$$

By (16), we have

$$\sigma([x_1+v_1, x_2+v_2, x_3+v_3]_\theta) = [\sigma(x_1+v_1), \sigma(x_2+v_2), \sigma(x_3+v_3)]_{\theta+\theta_f}.$$

□

5 $T_\theta^*(L)$-extension of metric hom 3-Lie algebras

The method of T*-extension has already been used for 3-Lie algebras in [8, 14]. Now we will generalize it to hom 3-Lie algebras.

Note that every hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is an L-module with respect to the adjoint representation $\mathrm{ad} : L \wedge L \to End(L)$, $\mathrm{ad}(x, y)(z) = [x, y, z]_L$ for every $x, y, z \in L$. By (12), $\mathrm{ad}^*(x, y) : L \wedge L \to End(L^*)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{ad}^*(x, y)(f)(z) = -f([x, y, z]_L), \tag{18}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$, $f \in L^*$, then (L^*, ad^*) is an L-module, called the coadjoint module of L. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that, for each 3-cocycle $\theta : L \wedge L \wedge L \to L^*$ associated with ad^*, the extension $T_\theta^*(L) = L \oplus L^*$ provided with the following bracket and linear map defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_3 + f_3]_\theta &= [x_1, x_2, x_3]_L + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, x_2)(f_3) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_3, x_1)(f_2) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_2, x_3)(f_1),\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\alpha'(x + f) = \alpha(x) + f \circ \alpha, \forall x_i \in L, f_i \in L^*, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then $(L \oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha')$ is a hom 3-Lie algebra.

Definition 5.1. *The hom 3-Lie algebra $(L \oplus L^*, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha')$ is called the T_θ^*-extension of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$.*

Definition 5.2. *Let L be a hom 3-Lie algebra over a field $\mathbf{K}$. We inductively define a derived series*

$$(L^{(n)})_{n\geq 0} : L^{(0)} = L,\ L^{(n+1)} = [L^{(n)}, L^{(n)}, L],$$

a central descending series

$$(L^n)_{n\geq 0} : L^0 = L,\ L^{n+1} = [L^n, L, L],$$

and a central ascending series

$$(C_n(L))_{n\geq 0} : C_0(L) = 0, C_{n+1}(L) = C(C_n(L)),$$

where $C(I) = \{a \in L | [a, L, L] \subseteq I\}$ for a subspace I of L.

L is called solvable and nilpotent(of length k) if and only if there is a smallest integer k such that $L^{(k)} = 0$ and $L^k = 0$, respectively.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be a hom 3-Lie algebra. If L is solvable, then every $T_\theta^*(L)$-extension of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is solvable. If L is nilpotent, so is $T_\theta^*(L)$.*

Proof. Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ be solvable and $L^{(s)} = [L^{(s-1)}, L^{(s-1)}, L] = 0$ for some nonnegative integer s. For each 3-cocycle $\theta : L \wedge L \wedge L \to L^*$ associated with ad^*, by (19), we have

$$T_\theta^*(L)^{(1)} \subseteq [L, L, L]_L + [L, L, L^*]_\theta = L^1 + [L, L, L^*]_\theta \subseteq L^1 + L^*$$

and $f(L) \subseteq f(L^1)$ for $f \in [L, L, L^*]_\theta$. Inductively, we have

$$T_\theta^*(L)^{(k)} \subseteq [T_\theta^*(L)^{(k-1)}, T_\theta^*(L)^{(k-1)}, L \oplus L^*]_\theta = L^{(k)} + L^*.$$

Since $L^{(s)} = 0$ and L^* is an abelian ideal of $T_\theta^*(L)$, we obtain that $T_\theta^*(L)^{(s+1)} = 0$. It follows that $T_\theta^*(L)$ is a solvable hom 3-Lie algebra.

If $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ is nilpotent with $L^s = 0$, a similar discussion yields that

$$T_\theta^*(L)^{(1)} \subseteq [L, L, L]_L + [L^*, L, L]_\theta,$$

$$\cdots$$

$$T_\theta^*(L)^{(k+1)} \subseteq L^k + [L, L, [\cdots [L, L, L^*]_\theta]_\theta, \cdots]_\theta.$$

Note that for $f \in [L, L, [\cdots [L, L, L^*]_\theta]_\theta, \cdots]_\theta$, we have $f(L) \subseteq f(L^k)$. Thus $T_\theta^*(L)^s = 0$, this is, $T_\theta^*(L)$ is a nilpotent hom 3-Lie algebra. □

Let $T_\theta^*(L) = L \oplus L^*$ be the T_θ^*-extension of L. Define a bilinear form $q_L : T_\theta^*(L) \wedge T_\theta^*(L) \to F$ by

$$q_L(x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2) = f_1(x_2) + f_2(x_1), \forall x_i \in L, f_i \in L^*, i = 1, 2, \tag{20}$$

this bilinear form is non-degenerate.

Theorem 5.4. *$(T_\theta^*(L), q_L, \alpha')$ is a metric hom 3-Lie algebra if and only if θ satisfies*

$$\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3)(x_4) + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_4)(x_3) = 0, \forall x_i \in L, i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{21}$$

Proof. If $(T_\theta^*(L), q_L, \alpha')$ is a metric hom 3-Lie algebra, it follows from (19) and (20) that θ satisfies (21). Conversely, if θ satisfies (21), then by (18), for every $x_i \in L, f_i \in L^*, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_L([x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_3 + f_3]_\theta, x_4 + f_4) + q_L([x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_4 + f_4]_\theta, x_3 + f_3) \\
= \; & q_L([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, x_2)(f_3) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_3, x_1)(f_2) \\
& + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_2, x_3)(f_1), x_4 + f_4) + q_L([x_1, x_2, x_4]_L + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_4) \\
& + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, x_2)(f_4) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_4, x_1)(f_2) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_2, x_4)(f_1), x_3 + f_3) \\
= \; & \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, x_2)(f_3)(x_4) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_3, x_1)(f_2)(x_4) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_2, x_3)(f_1)(x_4) \\
& + f_4([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_3)(x_4) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_1, x_2)(f_4)(x_3) + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_4, x_1)(f_2)(x_3) \\
& + \mathrm{ad}^*(x_2, x_4)(f_1)(x_3) + f_3([x_1, x_2, x_4]_L) + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_4)(x_3) \\
= \; & \theta(x_1, x_2, x_3)(x_4) + \theta(x_1, x_2, x_4)(x_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus q_L is ad-invariant if and only if the identity (21) holds. □

Definition 5.5. *Let (G, B, β) and (G', B', β') be two metric hom 3-Lie algebras. If there exists a linear isomorphism $\sigma : G \to G'$ such that*
$\sigma([x, y, z]_G) = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y), \sigma(z)]_{G'}$ and $B(x, y) = B'(\sigma(x), \sigma(y))$ for all $x, y \in G$.
Then (G, B, β) and (G', B', β') are called isometric.

Next, we describe the relationship between metric hom 3-Lie algebras of even dimensions and the T^*_θ-extension of hom 3-Lie algebras.

Theorem 5.6. *Let* (G, B, β) *be a* $2k$*-dimensional metric hom 3-Lie algebra over* $\mathbf{F}$*. Then* (G, B, β) *is isometric to a* T^*_θ*-extension of a hom 3-Lie algebra* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ *if and only if* G *contains a* k*-dimensional isotropic ideal* I*. Furthermore,* L *is isomorphic to* G/I*.*

Proof. If (G, B, β) is isomorphic to some T^*_θ-extension $(T^*_\theta(L), q_L, \alpha')$ of a hom 3-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ with an isometric isomorphism $T^*_\theta(L) = L \oplus L^* \to G$, then $I = \sigma(L^*)$ is an isotropic ideal of G and $\dim I = \dim L^* = k$. Also, $L \cong T^*_\theta/L^* \cong \sigma(T^*_\theta(L))/\sigma(L^*) = G/I$.

Conversely, let I be a k-dimensional abelian isotropic ideal of G. Denote by L the quotient hom 3-Lie algebra G/I. Let $\rho : G \to L$ be the canonical projection such that $\rho(x) = x + I = \bar{x}$ for every $x \in G$. We can choose an isotropic complementary vector subspace L_0 of G such that $G = L_0 \oplus I$ and $L_0^\perp = L_0$. Then $\phi = \rho|_{L_0} \to L$ is a linear isomorphism.

Denote by ρ_0 and ρ_1 the projection $G \to L_0$ and $G \to I$, respectively. Let

$$\delta : I \to L^*, \delta(i)(\bar{x}) = B(i, x), \forall i \in I, \bar{x} \in L. \tag{22}$$

As I is isotropic and B is non-degenerate on G, we see that δ is a linear isomorphism from I onto L^* and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta([g_1, g_2, i]_G)(\bar{g_3}) &= B([g_1, g_2, i]_G, g_3) \\
&= -B([g_1, g_2, g_3]_G, i) \\
&= -\delta(i)(\overline{[g_1, g_2, g_3]_G}) \\
&= -\delta(i)([\bar{g_1}, \bar{g_2}, \bar{g_3}]_G) \\
&= \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{g_1}, \bar{g_2})(\delta(i))(\bar{g_3}),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G, i \in I$, where the $\mathrm{ad}^*(x, y) : L \wedge L \to \mathrm{End}(L^*)$ is the coadjoint representation of L. Therefore,

$$\delta([g_1, g_2, i]_G) = \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{g_1}, \bar{g_2})(\delta(i)). \tag{23}$$

Set $\theta : L \wedge L \wedge L \to L^*$. We have

$$\theta(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}, \bar{x_3}) = \delta(\rho_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_G)), \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L_0. \tag{24}$$

Thanks to (22)-(24), for every $x, y, z, u, v \in L_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 = (\theta([\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}]_L, \alpha(\bar{y}), \alpha(\bar{z})) + \theta([\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}]_L, \alpha(\bar{z}), \alpha(\bar{x})) + \theta(\alpha(\bar{x}), \alpha(\bar{y}), [\bar{z}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}]_L) \\
&-\theta([\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]_L, \alpha(\bar{u}), \alpha(\bar{v})) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\bar{y}), \alpha(\bar{z}))\theta(\bar{x}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(\bar{z}), \alpha(\bar{x}))\theta(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) \\
&+\mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))\theta(z, u, v) - \mathrm{ad}^*(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))\theta(x, y, z))(\bar{w}),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which shows that θ is a 3-cocycle associated with ad^*. Then we get a T^*_θ-extension $T^*_\theta(L) = L \oplus L^*$ of L with the following multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{x_1} + f_1, \bar{x_2} + f_2, \bar{x_3} + f_3]_\theta &= [\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2}, \bar{x_3}]_L + \delta(\rho_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_G)) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2})(f_3) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_3}, \bar{x_1})(f_2) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_2}, \bar{x_3})(f_1), \qquad (26)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in L_0$, $f_i \in L^*$,$i = 1, 2, 3$.

Let

$$\sigma : G = L_0 \oplus I \to T^*_\theta(L), \sigma(x + i) = x + \delta(i), \qquad \forall x \in L_0, i \in I.$$

Then σ is a linear isomorphism, and for every $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L_0$, $i_1, i_2, i_3, \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma([x_1 + i_1, x_2 + i_2, x_3 + i_3]_L) \\ =\ & \sigma([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L + [i_1, x_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, i_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, x_2, i_3]_L) \\ =\ & \sigma(\rho_0([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + \rho_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + [i_1, x_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, i_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, x_2, i_3]_L) \\ =\ & \overline{[x_1, x_2, x_3]_L} + \delta(\rho_1([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + [i_1, x_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, i_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, x_2, i_3]_L) \\ =\ & \overline{[x_1, x_2, x_3]_L} + \theta([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + \delta([i_1, x_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, i_2, x_3]_L + [x_1, x_2, i_3]_L) \\ =\ & \overline{[x_1, x_2, x_3]_L} + \theta([x_1, x_2, x_3]_L) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_1}, \bar{x_2})(\delta(i_3)) + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_3}, \bar{x_1})(\delta(i_2)) \\ & + \mathrm{ad}^*(\bar{x_2}, \bar{x_3})(\delta(i_1)) \\ =\ & [\bar{x_1} + \delta(i_1), \bar{x_2} + \delta(i_2), \bar{x_3} + \delta(i_3)]_\theta \\ =\ & [\sigma(x_1 + i_1), \sigma(x_2 + i_2), \sigma(x_3 + i_3)]_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the ad-invariance of B that for every $u, v, w, x \in L_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})(\bar{x}) + \theta(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{x})(\bar{w}) &= \delta(\rho_1([u, v, w]_L)(\bar{x}) + \delta(\rho_1([u, v, x]_L)(\bar{u}) \\ &= B([u, v, w]_L)(x) + B([u, v, x]_L)(w) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(T^*_\theta(L), q_L, \alpha')$ is a metric hom 3-Lie algebra. Also, for every $x \in L_0, i \in I$,

$$q_L(\sigma(x), \sigma(i)) = q_L(\bar{x}, \delta(i)) = \delta(i)(\bar{x}) = B(x, i).$$

Thus (G, B, β) is isometric to $(T^*_\theta(L), q_L, \alpha')$. ☐

The proof of the above theorem tell us that the 3-cocycle θ associated with ad^* depends on the choice of the isotropic subspace L_0(of G) which is complementary to the ideal I. Different $T^*_\theta(L)$-extensions of a metric hom 3-Lie algebra may lead to the same metric hom 3-Lie algebra.

6 Conclusions

This paper gives the definition of hom 3-Lie algebras and shows that the direct sum of two hom 3-Lie algebras is still a hom 3-Lie algebra. For any nonnegative integer k, we define

α^k-derivations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras study derivations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras. In particular, any α-derivations gives rise to a derivation extension of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$(Theorem 3.5). We also give the definition of representations of multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras and show that one can obtain the semidirect product multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho A}, \alpha + A)$ associated to any representation ρA on V of the multiplicative hom 3-Lie algebras $(L, [\cdot,\cdot,\cdot]_L, \alpha)$ (Proposition 4.2). In the end of the paper, we describe module extensions (associated with a 3-cocycle) of hom 3-Lie algebras and study T^*_θ-extensions (Definition 5.1)of hom 3-Lie algebras.

References

[1] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras.* J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1513-1528.

[2] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q- deforemed Witt superalgebra.* e-Print: arXiv:1204.6244.

[3] Ammar F., Ayadi I. and Makhlouf A., *Quadratic color Hom-Lie algebras.* e-Print: arXiv:1204.5155.

[4] Bordemann M. *Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras.* Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXVI(2)(1997), 151-201.

[5] Bajo I., Benayadi S., Medina A., *Symplectic structures on quadratic Lie algebras.* J. Algebra 316(2007), no. 1, 174-188.

[6] Bagger J. and Lambert N., *Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes.* Phys. Rev. D77 (2008), p. 065008.

[7] Bagger J. and Lambert N., *Comments on multiple M2-branes.* JHEP 0802 (2008), 105

[8] Bai, R., Wu, W., Li, Y., Li, Z, *Module extensions of 3-Lie algebras.* Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (2012), no. 4, 433-447.

[9] Bordemann M. *Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras.* Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXVI(2)(1997), 151-201.

[10] Gustavsson A., *Algebraic structure on parallel M2-branes.* Available at arXiv: 0709.1260.

[11] Gohr A. *On hom-algebras with surjective twisting.* J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1483-1491.

[12] Hartwig J., Larsson D. and Silvestrov S., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations.* J. Algebra 295(2006), 321-344.

[13] Jin Q. and Li X., *Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras.* J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 4, 1398-1408.

[14] Lin J., Wang Y., Deng S.,T^**-extension of Lie triple systems.* Linear Algebra and its Applications 431(2009), 2071-2083.

[15] Liu, W, Zhang, Z, T^**-extension of a 3-Lie algebra.* Linear Multilinear Algebra 60 (2012), no. 5, 538-594.

[16] Scheunert M., Zhang R., *Cohomology of Lie superalgebras and their generalizations.* J. Math. Phys. 39(1998), 5024-5061.

[17] Sheng Y., *Representations of Hom-Lie Algebras.* Algebr. Represent. Theory, 15 (2012), no. 6, 1081-1098.

[18] Yau D., *The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie algebras.* J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), no. 5, 053502, 19 pp.

[19] Yau D., *Hom-quantum groups: I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras.* J. Phys. A 45 (2012), no. 6, 065203, 23 pp.